

Table 2 Proposed settlement hierarchy and housing development

VALP Main Modifications version (October 2019)

Category	Description	Settlements	Amount of housing development		
			Total	Made-up-of	
				Completions and commitments	Allocations
Strategic settlements	The most sustainable towns and villages in the district and the focus for the majority of development. These settlements act as service centres for other villages around them. The plan will allocate sites at strategic settlements	Aylesbury Buckingham Haddenham Wendover/Halton Camp Winslow	16,586 ⁺ 2,166 1,032 1,132 897 (TOTAL 21,813)	13,047 1,616 763 132 582	3,539 550 269 1,000 315
North east Aylesbury Vale	Allocation of land adjoining Milton Keynes that falls within Aylesbury Vale district	Sites within the parishes of Newton Longville ,Stoke Hammond and Whaddon.	3,362	2,212	1,150
Larger villages	Larger, more sustainable villages that have at least reasonable access to facilities and services and public transport, making them sustainable locations for development. The plan allocates sites at some of the larger villages	Aston Clinton Edlesborough Ivinghoe Long Crendon Pitstone Steeple Claydon Stoke Mandeville Stone (including Hartwell) Waddesdon (including Fleet Marston)	625 177 26 103 199 293 284 54 192 82	625 177 26 103 199 293 284 28 192 60	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 26 0 22

¹ This includes some figures for Stoke Mandeville, Bierton and Weston Turville parishes.

Category	Description	Settlements	Amount of housing development		
		Whitchurch	125	125	0
		Wing	111	111	0
		Wingrave	(TOTAL 2,271)		
Medium villages	Medium villages have some provision key services and facilities, making them moderately sustainable locations for development. The plan allocates some sites at medium villages	Bierton (including Broughton)	23	23	0
		Brill	5	5	0
		Cheddington	112	112	0
		Cuddington	28	5	23
		Gawcott	15	15	0
		Great Horwood	75	75	0
		Grendon Underwood	56	56	0
		Ickford	33	3	30
		Maids Moreton	185	15	170
		Marsh Gibbon	60	60	0
		Marsworth	32	32	0
		Newton Longville	53	36	17
		North Marston	9	9	0
		Padbury	51	51	0
		Quainton	58	21	37
		Stewkley	100	100	0
		Stoke Hammond	209	209	0
		Tingewick	108	108	0
		Weston Turville	70	70	0
			(TOTAL 1,282)		
Smaller villages	Smaller, less sustainable villages which have relatively poor access to services and facilities. It is expected that some small scale development could be accommodated at smaller villages without causing unreasonable harm. This level of development is also likely to help maintain existing communities. Sites at smaller villages will come forward either through	Adstock	To come forward through neighbourhood plans or through the		
		Akeley			
		Ashendon			
		Aston Abbotts			
		Beachampton			
		Bishopstone			
		Buckland			

Category	Description	Settlements	Amount of housing development		
	neighbourhood plans or by individual 'windfall' planning applications, no site allocations are made at smaller villages	Calvert Green Chackmore Charndon Chearsley Chilton Cublington Dagnall Dinton Drayton Parslow East Claydon Ford Granborough Great Brickhill Halton Hardwick Ivinghoe Aston Little Horwood Ludgershall Mentmore and Ledburn Mursley Nash Northall Oakley Oving (including Pitchcott) Preston Bissett Shabbington Slapton Soulbury Stowe and Dadford Swanbourne Thornborough Turweston Twyford	development management process considered against relevant policies in the Plan		

Category	Description	Settlements	Amount of housing development		
		Weedon Westbury Westcott Whaddon Worminghall			
Other settlements	The remainder of settlements in the district which are not sustainable locations for development and are places where it is likely that any development would cause harm to the local environment. Some very limited development could take place in accordance with the policies in this plan, but no allocations for housing will be made	Addington Biddlesden Boarstall Broughton Burcott Chetwode Dorton Drayton Beauchamp Edgcott Hillesden Kingsey Kingswood Leckhampstead Lillingstone Dayrell Lillingstone Lovell Luffield Abbey Middle Claydon Nether (Lower) Winchendon Poundon Radclive Rowsham Shalstone Thornton Upper Winchendon Upton Water Stratford Wotton Underwood	To come forward through neighbourhood plans or through the development management process considered against relevant policies in the Plan		

Table 2 Proposed settlement hierarchy and housing development

VALP Further Proposed Modifications version (September 2020) (Updated to 31.03.2020 basedate)

Category	Description	Settlements	Total housing development	Completions and commitments	Allocations
Strategic settlements	The most sustainable towns and villages in the district and the focus for the majority of development. These settlements act as service centres for other villages around them. The plan will allocate sites at strategic settlements	Aylesbury	<u>16,207</u> ²	<u>12,925</u>	<u>3,282</u>
		Buckingham	<u>2,177</u>	<u>1,627</u>	550
		Haddenham	<u>1,082</u>	<u>1,082</u>	0
		Wendover/Halton Camp	<u>1,142</u>	<u>142</u>	1,000
		Winslow	<u>870</u>	<u>555</u>	315
			(TOTAL <u>21,478</u>)		
North east Aylesbury Vale	Allocation of land adjoining Milton Keynes that falls within Aylesbury Vale district	Sites within the parishes of Newton Longville , Stoke Hammond and Whaddon.	<u>3,356</u>	<u>2,206</u>	1,150
Larger villages	Larger, more sustainable villages that have at least reasonable access to facilities and services and public transport, making them sustainable locations for development. The plan allocates sites at some of the larger villages	Aston Clinton	<u>624</u>	<u>624</u>	0
		Edlesborough	<u>179</u>	<u>179</u>	0
		Ivinghoe	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>	0
		Long Crendon	<u>109</u>	<u>109</u>	0
		Pitstone	<u>194</u>	<u>194</u>	0
		Steeple Claydon	<u>301</u>	<u>301</u>	0
		Stoke Mandeville	<u>375</u>	<u>375</u>	0
		Stone (including Hartwell)	<u>68</u>	<u>42</u>	26
		Waddesdon (including Fleet Marston)	<u>196</u>	<u>196</u>	0
			<u>92</u>	<u>92</u>	0
		Whitchurch	<u>130</u>	<u>130</u>	0
		Wing	<u>115</u>	<u>115</u>	0
		Wingrave	(TOTAL <u>2,408</u>)		
Medium villages	Medium villages have some provision key services and facilities, making them moderately sustainable locations for development. The plan allocates some sites at medium villages	Bierton (including Broughton)	<u>27</u>	<u>27</u>	0
		Brill	<u>11</u>	<u>11</u>	0
		Cheddington	<u>115</u>	<u>115</u>	0
		Cuddington	28	<u>13</u>	<u>15</u>
		Gawcott	15	15	0

² This includes some figures for Stoke Mandeville, Bierton and Weston Turville parishes.

Category	Description	Settlements	Total housing development	Completions and commitments	Allocations
		Great Horwood	<u>81</u>	<u>81</u>	0
		Grendon Underwood	<u>59</u>	<u>59</u>	0
		Ickford	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>	0
		Maids Moreton	<u>188</u>	<u>188</u>	0
		Marsh Gibbon	<u>62</u>	<u>62</u>	0
		Marsworth	<u>36</u>	<u>36</u>	0
		Newton Longville	<u>52</u>	<u>52</u>	0
		North Marston	<u>9</u>	<u>9</u>	0
		Padbury	<u>52</u>	<u>52</u>	0
		Quainton	<u>108</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>24</u>
		Stewkley	<u>98</u>	<u>98</u>	0
		Stoke Hammond	<u>194</u>	<u>194</u>	0
		Tingewick	<u>110</u>	<u>110</u>	0
		Weston Turville	<u>78</u>	<u>78</u>	0
			(TOTAL <u>1,423</u>)		
Smaller villages	Smaller, less sustainable villages which have relatively poor access to services and facilities. It is expected that some small scale development could be accommodated at smaller villages without causing unreasonable harm. This level of development is also likely to help maintain existing communities. Sites at smaller villages will come forward either through neighbourhood plans or by individual 'windfall' planning applications, no site allocations are made at smaller villages	<u>Total (smaller villages and other settlements)</u>	<u>709</u>	<u>709</u>	<u>0</u>

Category	Description	Settlements	Total housing development	Completions and commitments	Allocations
Smaller villages	<u>List of smaller villages where housing is expected to come forward through neighbourhood plans or through the development management process considered against relevant policies in the Plan.</u>	Adstock Akeley Ashendon Aston Abbotts Beachampton Bishopstone Buckland Calvert Green Chackmore Charndon Chearsley Chilton Cublington Dagnall Dinton Drayton Parslow East Claydon Ford Granborough Great Brickhill Halton Hardwick Ivinghoe Aston Little Horwood Ludgershall Mentmore and Ledburn Mursley Nash Northall Oakley Oving (including Pitchcott) Preston Bissett Shabbington Slapton	= To come forward through neighbourhood plans or through the development management process considered against relevant policies in the Plan	=	=

Category	Description	Settlements	Total housing development	Completions and commitments	Allocations
		Soulbury Stowe and Dadford Swanbourne Thornborough Turweston Twyford Weedon Westbury Westcott Whaddon Worminghall			
Other settlements	The remainder of settlements in the district which are not sustainable locations for development and are places where it is likely that any development would cause harm to the local environment. Some very limited development could take place in accordance with the policies in this plan, but no <u>No allocations for housing will be made and only a very limited amount of development is expected to come forward through neighbourhood plans or through the development management process considered against relevant policies in the Plan</u>	All remaining settlements (listed in the settlement hierarchy document)	= To come forward through neighbourhood plans or through the development management process considered against relevant policies in the Plan	=	=