



For internal Use only	ID:		Rep No:	

VALP Proposed Main Modifications Consultation RESPONSE FORM

Responses are encouraged via the Council's online consultation system available on the website, see <https://aylesburyvaledc.jdi-consult.net/localplan>. However, this form can be returned via email to localplanconsult@aylesburyvaledc.gov.uk or in hard copy if necessary to:

Planning Policy, Aylesbury Vale District Council, The Gateway, Gatehouse Road, Aylesbury, Bucks, HP19 8FF

The consultation runs from 12pm Tuesday 5 November until 5.15pm Tuesday 17 December

This form has two parts:

Part A - Personal Details and Part B - Your comments

PART A

1. Personal Details

Title	<input type="text" value="Mr"/>
First Name	<input type="text" value="DAVID"/>
Last Name	<input type="text" value="FROGGATT"/>
Organisation <i>(Where relevant)</i>	<input type="text"/>
Address Line 1	
Address Line 2	
Address Line 3	
Post Code	
E-mail Address	
Telephone Number	

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

Title	<input type="text"/>
First Name	<input type="text"/>
Last Name	<input type="text"/>
Organisation	<input type="text"/>
Address Line 1	<input type="text"/>
Address Line 2	<input type="text"/>
Address Line 3	<input type="text"/>
Post Code	<input type="text"/>
E-mail Address	<input type="text"/>
Telephone Number	<input type="text"/>

PART B

REPRESENTATION FORM

Please Note: You do not need to return this form if you have made the same comments via the council's online system for this consultation. Duplicates will not be considered.

Please specify which Proposed Main Modification, part of the Sustainability Appraisal addendum or Habitat Regulation Assessment your comments relate to. Any representations on the content of the new evidence published alongside the Proposed Main Modifications must also relate to a specified Main Modification to the VALP or they will not be accepted. If you wish to comment on more than one Modification please use a separate form for each.

e.g. MM001

MM076 - Shenley Park

Do you support or object?

Support Object

Do you consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant?

Yes No

Do you consider the Local Plan to be sound?

Yes No

If you do NOT consider the Local Plan to be sound, please specify on what grounds:

Positively prepared Justified Effective Consistent with National Policy

Enter your full representation here:

Continue onto another page if needed

Shenley Park, Whaddon (SP) was not included in the 2017 Proposed Submission version of VALP, so Whaddon PC and residents have been completely denied the opportunity to properly debate and ~~to~~ cross examine AVDC's decision to choose SP over the other two competing sites during the public hearing sessions held by the inspector in July 2018.

Because of the very late introduction of SP, the inspector must be strongly encouraged to reopen a further hearing session at the end of the public consultation period, so that this crucial omission can be rectified. It is completely unfair and unjust not to allow detailed and proper comparison testing between the three competing sites.

(see attached page)

Box 1 continued.

Whaddon residents must be given the opportunity to inform the inspector of the impact such a large development will have on their environment, lives and health, road safety and general well-being. Of particular concern is the expected substantial increase in traffic bringing with it additional pollution and noise, within the village of Whaddon and surrounding country roads. The A421 is already a bottleneck and the delays and pollution will be made much worse by adding this many vehicles to it.

Very surprisingly, the SA does not mention the important North Bucks Way at all, but a new road access into SP must cut across this natural boundary that currently forms a strong defensible western edge to the existing built-up area of MK. Its importance as an old established landscaped barrier and existing ~~built~~ habitat and wildlife corridor is overlooked. Cutting through this ancient corridor by one, if not two, grid road extensions will unnecessarily harm attractive and as yet unspoilt countryside — "virgin" land which does not enjoy any further outer boundary that could be described as a similar long-term and defensible boundary.

Government requires that adjoining authorities have a "duty to co-operate" when considering significant strategic cross-boundary housing allocations. Such a duty cannot be rectified during a public consultation period. AVDC have paid lip-service to this, but they have not addressed this key strategic housing allocation through effective discussion or proper joint working, representing a significant failure of "duty to co-operate" at an early stage.

If your representation is more than 100 words, please provide a summary under 100 words here:

Shenley Park (SP) was not included in the 2017 proposed submission version of VALP, so Whaddon PC and residents have been completely denied the opportunity to properly debate and cross-examine AVDC's decision to choose SP over the two competing sites. It is unfair and unjust not to allow detailed comparison testing between the three competing sites.
Government requires that adjoining authorities have a duty to co-operate when considering significant strategic cross-boundary housing allocations. AVDC have paid lip-service to this, but have not addressed this through effective discussion or joint working.

Please specify the changes you think are needed to be made to the proposed main modification. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

It is illogical and completely unnecessary to expand the MK City boundary into Shenley Park. Why cross a strong defensible bridleway boundary such as the heavily wooded/hedged North Bucks Way into beautiful and much valued open unspoilt countryside, when there are two more appropriate, and easier to develop, alternative sites available at Salden Chase and particularly Eaton Keys.

If the inspector decides further hearing sessions are needed would you wish to speak at these?

Yes No

If Yes - you wish to speak at any further hearings, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Do you wish to be notified...

- When the Inspector's report is published?
- When the Vale of Aylesbury Local Plan is adopted?

Vale of Aylesbury Local Plan: Responses should be returned to Aylesbury Vale District Council by 5.15pm Tuesday 17 December 2019
(responses will not be accepted after this time)

